arXiv:1202.4315v1 [math.QA] 20 Feb 2012

ON THE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF GENERALIZED QUANTUM DOUBLES

SEBASTIAN BURCIU

Abstract. A description of all the irreducible representations of generalized quantum doubles associated to skew pairings of semisimple Hopf algebras is given. In particular a description of the irreducible representations of semisimple Drinfeld doubles is obtained. It is shown that the Grothendieck ring of these generalized quantum doubles have a structure similar to the rings that arise from Green functors. In order to do this we give a formula for the tensor product of any two such irreducible representations.

1. Introduction and main results

In what follows k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. All the algebras and fusion categories considered here are over k.

To any skew pairing $\lambda : U \otimes H \to k$ one can associate a twisted Hopf algebra $D_{\lambda}(U, H)$ of $U \otimes H$ called the generalized quantum double of U and H (see [20]). If H is finite dimensional, $U = H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$, and λ is the usual evaluation map then one obtains the usual quantum double $D(H)$ introduced by Drinfeld.

In this paper we describe all the irreducible representations of the Hopf algebras $D_{\lambda}(U, H)$ when U and H are semisimple Hopf algebras and λ induces a surjective map $\lambda(u,\ -) : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$. To this end, we apply Clifford's correspondence for semisimple Hopf algebras that was developed in [7].

The irreducible representations of the Drinfeld double $D(G)$ of a finite group are parameterized by pairs (g, M) where g runs through representatives of conjugacy classes of G, and M is an irreducible $\mathrm{C}_G(g)$-representation. To the pair (g, M) it corresponds the induced representations $kG \otimes_{k\mathrm{C}_G(g)} M$ (see [19] or [28].)

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W30, 18D10.

Key words and phrases. Generalized quantum doubles; Fusion subcategories; Clifford Theory; Grothendieck rings.

This work was partially supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFSCDI, project number PN II - ID - PCE-2011-3-0039.

Our main result is a description of irreducible representations of $D_{\lambda}(U, H)$ that generalizes the above description for finite groups G. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $f : U \to H^{* \,\mathrm{cop}}$ is a surjective Hopf algebra isomorphism. Then all the irreducible $D_{\lambda_f}(U, H)$-representations are of the form $S_{g,M} := H \otimes_{L(g)} M$ for some element $g \in G$ and some irreducible representation $M \in \mathcal{I}_g$.*

Here the group G is the universal grading group of $\mathrm{Rep}(U)$. Therefore G is defined by $K(U) = kG^*$ where $K(U)$ is the largest central Hopf subalgebra of U. For the definition of the set $\mathcal{I}_g$ see Equation 3.25. A definition of the Hopf subalgebra $L(g)$ of H is given in 3.16 and it can be regarded as a generalization of the group algebra of the centralizer of a group element. Moreover, in Corollary 3.26 we also give a parametrization $(g, M) \mapsto S_{g,M}$ of all irreducible representations of $D_{\lambda_f}(U, H)$ similar to the case of the quantum double $D(G)$.

A similar description of the simple objects of the braided center of a graded fusion category was given recently in [14]. It was shown in Proposition 3.9 of [14] that in this situation the simple objects of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ are in bijection with the pairs formed by a representative of a conjugacy class of the universal grading group $U(\mathcal{C})$ and some simple equivariant objects of the corresponding grading components. Connections between the results obtained in [14] and our results are provided in Section 4.

In Section 5 we give a categorical description of the category of representations $\mathrm{Rep}(D_{\lambda_f}(U, H))$ for a surjective morphism f of Hopf algebras. We show that $\mathrm{Rep}(D_{\lambda_f}(U, H))$ is the relative center of the image functor f_* induced by f. The notion of relative center of a tensor functor appears in [4] and is a particular case of the notion of relative center of a bimodule category introduced in [14].

Our second main result is a formula for the tensor product $S_{g,M} \otimes S_{h,N}$ of two such irreducible $D_{\lambda_f}(U, H)$-representations. This is given in Section 6 where the following is proven:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $f : U \to H^{* \,\mathrm{cop}}$ is a surjective morphism of Hopf algebras. Let $M \in \mathcal{I}_g$ and $N \in \mathcal{I}_h$ as above. Then the tensor product of two irreducible $D_f(U, H)$-modules is given by:*

$$(H \otimes_{L(g)} M) \otimes (H \otimes_{L(h)} N) \cong \oplus_{x \in \mathcal{D}} (H \otimes_{L(\,{}^{x}g\, h)} P(x)) \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ *is a set of representatives for the double cosets* $F_g\backslash F/F_h$ *and* $P(x) \in \mathrm{I}_{\,{}^{x}gh}$ *is given by*

$$P(x) := \left({}^{x}M \downarrow^{L({}^{x}g)}_{L({}^{x}g)\cap L(h)} \otimes N \downarrow^{L(h)}_{L({}^{x}g)\cap L(h)} \right) \uparrow^{L({}^{x}gh)}_{L({}^{x}g)\cap L(h)} \tag{1.4}$$

The finite group F is defined by $K(H) = kF^*$ and the conjugate modules ${}^{x}M$ are defined as in Equation 6.13.

The above formula shows that the Grothendieck ring structure of $D_{\lambda_f}(U, H)$ is also similar to the Grothendieck ring of $D(G)$ of a finite group G. The latter ring was described by Cibils in [9]. These ring structures were very intensively studied by various authors in connection with the Hochschild cohomology ring and with the rings coming from Green functors. (see for instance [10], [3]). Witherspoon noticed in [30] that abelian cocentral extensions of Hopf algebras have also this type of structure. Connections with the ring construction from [30] are given in Section 6.

The organization of the paper is the following. In the second section we describe the tools that are needed for the rest of this work. They include the basic notions of extensions of normal Hopf algebras from [5] and the results on Clifford theory developed by the author in [7].

The third section gives the general description of the irreducible representations of the generalized quantum doubles of $D_{\lambda_f}(U, H)$. To this end we prove that $K(U)$ is a normal Hopf subalgebra of $D_f(U, H)$ and apply Clifford's correspondence for this normal Hopf subalgebra.

In Section 4 we prove that a semisimple generalized quantum double $D_\lambda(U, H)$ is a cocentral extension of a certain Hopf subalgebra by the group algebra of the universal grading group of the category $\mathrm{Rep}(U)$.

Section 5 discusses the categorical interpretation of the double crossed product. It is shown that the category of representations of a generalized quantum double is the relative center of a certain tensor functor.

In the last section we prove the tensor product formula from Theorem 1.3. Using this we show that the Grothendieck ring of a generalized quantum double has a structure similar to the one obtained in [30].

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall the basic notions and results on semisimple Hopf algebras and fusion categories that are needed for the rest of the paper.

Throughout of this paper any finite dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra A will be defined over a fixed algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Then A is also a cosemisimple Hopf algebra and

$S^2 = \mathrm{Id}$ (see [18]), where S is the antipode of A. The set of irreducible characters of A is denoted by $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$. The Grothendieck group $\mathcal{G}(A)$ of the category $\mathrm{Rep}(A)$ of finite dimensional left A-modules becomes a ring with the multiplicative structure induced by the tensor product of A-modules. Then $C(A) = \mathcal{G}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ is a semisimple subalgebra of A^* [31] and it has a basis given by the characters of the irreducible A-modules. There is a unique bilinear form $m_A : C(A) \times C(A) \to k$ on $C(A)$ determined by the relation $m_A([V],\ [W]) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, W)$ for any two A-modules V and W.

2.1. **Conjugate modules for normal extensions.** Let $B \subset A$ be a normal Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra A and let M be an irreducible B-module with associated character $\alpha \in C(B)$. We recall the following notion of conjugate module introduced in [5]. It was also previously considered in [26] in the cocommutative case.

If W is an A^*-module then $W \otimes M$ becomes a B-module with the following structure:

$$b(w \otimes m) = w_0 \otimes (S(w_1)bw_2)m \tag{2.1}$$

for all $b \in B$, $w \in W$ and $m \in M$. Here we used that any left A^*-module W is a right A-comodule via $\rho(w) = w_0 \otimes w_1$. It can be checked that if $W \cong W'$ as A^*-modules then $W \otimes M \cong W' \otimes M$. Thus for any irreducible character $d \in \mathrm{Irr}(A^*)$ associated to a simple A-comodule W one can define the B-module ${}^{d}M := W \otimes M$. If $\alpha \in C(B)$ is the character of M then the character ${}^{d}\alpha$ of ${}^{d}M$ is given by

$$ {}^{d}\alpha(x) = \alpha(Sd_1xd_2) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x \in B$ (see Proposition 5.3 of [5]).

2.1.1. *Rieffel's equivalence relations for normal extensions.* We say that two B-modules V and W are equivalent if there is an irreducible A-module M such that both V and W are irreducible constituents of of $M \downarrow_B^A$. This defines an equivalence relation $\sim_B$ on the set $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ of irreducible characters of B (see [5]). The same notation is used for the corresponding equivalence relation on $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$. There is an analogue equivalent relation on $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$. Two A-modules M and N are equivalent if there is an irreducible B-module V that is constituent to both M_B and N_B. This equivalence relation is usually denoted by $\sim^A$.

Remark 2.3. *According to* [5] *there is a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of* $\sim^A$ *and* $\sim_B$*. This correspondence is given as follows. Let* V *be an irreducible* A*-module and* M *a constituent* *of V_B. Then the equivalence class of V under $\sim^A$ corresponds to the equivalence class of M under $\sim_B$.*

Remark 2.4. *From Proposition 5.12 of* [5] *it follows that the equivalence class of the character $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ is given by all the irreducible constituents of ${}^d\alpha$ as d runs through all irreducible characters of A^*.*

2.1.2. *Restriction and induction to normal Hopf subalgebras.* We recall the following results for induction and restriction to normal Hopf subalgebras from [5] that will be needed letter.

Proposition 2.5. *Let B be a normal Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra A. Let V be an irreducible A-module with character $\chi \in$ $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$ and M be an irreducible B-module with associated character $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$. Suppose that V is a constituent of M_B. Then*

$$\chi\downarrow^A_B = \chi(1)\frac{\sum_{\beta\sim_B\alpha}\beta(1)\beta}{\sum_{\beta\sim_B\alpha}\beta(1)^2} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\alpha\uparrow^A_B = \frac{\alpha(1)\dim A}{\dim B}\sum_{\mu\sim^A\chi}\mu(1)\mu \tag{2.7}$$

The two equations above combined give the following:

$$\alpha\uparrow^A_B\downarrow^A_B = \frac{\dim A}{\dim B}\frac{\alpha(1)}{(\sum_{\beta\sim_B\alpha}\beta^2(1))}\sum_{\beta\sim_B\alpha}\beta(1)\beta \tag{2.8}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ is an equivalence class of $\sim_B$ corresponding by Remark 2.3 to the equivalence class $\mathcal{A}$ of $\sim^A$. Since A is a free left B-module it follows that

$$\sum_{M\in\mathcal{A}}(\dim M)M\downarrow^A_B = \frac{\dim A}{\dim B}\sum_{V\in\mathcal{B}}(\dim V)V \tag{2.9}$$

2.1.3. *Subsets closed under multiplication and duality.* Recall from [22] that a subset $X \subset \mathrm{Irr}(A^*)$ is closed under multiplication if for every $\chi, \mu \in X$ in the decomposition of $\chi\mu = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathrm{Irr}(A^*)} m_\gamma\gamma$ one has $\gamma \in X$ if $m_\gamma \neq 0$. A subset $X \subset \mathrm{Irr}(A^*)$ is closed under " $*$ " if $x^* \in X$ for all $x \in X$.

Any subset of $X \subset \mathrm{Irr}(A^*)$ closed under multiplication and " $*$ " generates a Hopf subalgebra $A(X)$ of A by

$$A(X) := \oplus_{x\in\mathrm{Irr}(A^*)}C_x$$

where C_x is the simple subcoalgebra of A associated to x.

2.1.4. *Definition of the stabilizer of an irreducible module.* Let M be an irreducible B-module and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ be its character. Then the following result was proven in [7].

Proposition 2.10. *The set* $\{d \in \mathrm{Irr}(A^*) \mid {}^d\alpha = \epsilon(d)\alpha\}$ *is closed under multiplication and "* $*$ *". Thus it generates a Hopf subalgebra* $Z_A(\alpha)$ *of* A *that contains* B*.*

Example 2.11. *Let* $A = kG$ *and* $B = kN$ *for a normal subgroup* N *of* G*. In this situation* $Z_A(\alpha)$ *coincides with the group algebra of the classical stabilizer of* α *in* G *that was introduced by Clifford in* [11].

In analogy with the group algebra case the Hopf subalgebra $Z_A(\alpha)$ is called in [7] the stabilizer of α in A.

Remark 2.12. *Let* B *a normal Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra* A *and* M *be an irreducible* B*-module with character* $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$*. If* C *is any subcoalgebra of* A *then* $C \otimes M$ *has a structure of* B*-module as in Equation 2.1 using the fact that* C *is a right* A*-comodule via* Δ*. Then it follows that* $C \otimes M \cong M^{|C|}$ *as* B*-modules if and only if* $C \subset Z_A(\alpha)$*.*

2.1.5. *Clifford theory for Hopf algebras.* Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of normal semisimple Hopf algebras. Fix an irreducible B-module M with character $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}_1$ is the equivalence class of α under Rieffel's equivalence relation $\sim_B$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ for the inclusion $B \subset A$ (see subsection 2.1.1).

As in Remark 2.3 suppose also that $\mathcal{A}_1$ is the corresponding equivalence class of $\mathcal{B}_1$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$. Consider the characters:

$$b_1 = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1} \beta(1)\beta. \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$a_1 = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{A}_1} \chi(1)\chi.$$

2.1.6. *Rieffel's equivalence relations for the inclusion* $B \subset Z_A(\alpha)$*.* Since B is a normal Hopf subalgebra of $Z_A(\alpha)$ one can define as above two equivalences relations, on $\mathrm{Irr}(Z_A(\alpha))$ respectively $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$.

From the definition of the stabilizer it follows that α by itself form an equivalence class of $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$, say $\mathcal{B}'_1$. Then as in Remark 2.3 one can let $\mathcal{Z}_1$ to be the corresponding equivalence class of $\mathcal{B}_1$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(Z_A(\alpha))$ Thus by definition it follows that $\mathcal{Z}_1$ is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(Z_A(\alpha)) |\ \psi \downarrow_B^{Z_A(\alpha)} \text{ contains } \alpha\}.$$

In this situation, for all irreducible characters $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ one has by Formula 2.6 that $\psi \downarrow_B^{Z_A(\alpha)} = \frac{\psi(1)}{\alpha(1)}\alpha$.

2.1.7. *Definition of the Clifford correspondence for Hopf algebras.* As proven in [7] the above arguments imply that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ all the irreducible constituents of $\psi \uparrow_{Z_A(\alpha)}^A$ are in $\mathcal{A}_1$.

Definition 2.14. *Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras. We say that Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $B \subset A$ and the irreducible character $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ if $\psi \uparrow_{Z_A(\alpha)}^A$ is irreducible for any irreducible character $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ and the induction function*

$$\mathrm{ind} : \mathcal{Z}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_1$$

given by $\mathrm{ind}(\psi) = \psi \uparrow_{Z_A(\alpha)}^A$ is a bijection.

2.1.8. *Necessary and sufficient conditions for Clifford correspondence to hold for the character $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ and inclusion $B \subset A$.*

Theorem 2.15. *([7].) Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras and M be an irreducible B-module with associated character $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$. Then Clifford correspondence holds for α if and only if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:*

1. *$Z_A(\alpha)$ is a stabilizer in the sense given in [25].*
2. *The following equality holds:*

$$m_B(\alpha,\ \alpha \uparrow_B^A \downarrow_B^A) = m_B(\alpha,\ \alpha \uparrow_B^{Z_A(\alpha)} \downarrow_B^{Z_A(\alpha)}). \tag{2.16}$$

As noted in [29] for a finite group algebra extension $kH \subset kG$ with H normal subgroup of G the above condition is satisfied for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$. In this case one obtains the classical Clifford correspondence for groups from [11].

2.2. **Fusion categories associated to semisimple Hopf algebras.**

In this subsection we recall few basics things on fusion categories and then consider the fusion category $\mathrm{Rep}(A)$ associated to a semisimple Hopf algebra A.

2.2.1. *Gradings of fusion categories.* Let G be a finite group. Recall that a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is G-graded if there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g \tag{2.17}$$

of $\mathcal{C}$ into a direct sum of full abelian subcategories such that the tensor product of $\mathcal{C}$ maps $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{C}_h$ to $\mathcal{C}_{gh}$, for all $g, h \in G$.

There is a universal grading on $\mathcal{C}$ by a group $U(\mathcal{C})$ called the universal grading group of $\mathcal{C}$. The universal property of this grading consists of the fact that any other grading on $\mathcal{C}$ is obtained by a quotient group of the universal group $U(\mathcal{C})$.

The fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is also called a G-extension of $\mathcal{C}_1$. Gradings and extensions play an important role in the study and classification of fusion categories (see [15] and [13]).

2.2.2. *Universal grading group of* $\mathrm{Rep}(A)$. For a semisimple Hopf algebra A let $K(A)$ be the largest central Hopf subalgebra of A. Since $K(A)$ is a commutative Hopf algebra and k algebraically closed it follows that $K(A) = kG^*$ for some finite group G.

If $\mathcal{C} := \mathrm{Rep}(A)$ is the fusion category of finite dimensional representations of A then it follows that $U(\mathcal{C}) = G$ (see Theorem 3.8 of [15].) Moreover, if

$$\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{g\in G}\mathcal{C}_g \tag{2.18}$$

is the universal grading of $\mathrm{Rep}(A)$ then an irreducible character χ of A satisfies $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_g$ if and only if $\chi \downarrow^{A}_{K(A)}= \chi(1)g$. Following the proof of Theorem 3.8 of [15] it is easy to deduce that

$$p_g = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_g)} e_M \tag{2.19}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_g)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of simple object of the abelian category $\mathcal{C}_g$. Here $e_M \in H$ is the primitive central idempotent associated to M.

On the other hand, by Frobenius reciprocity, it follows that $g \uparrow^{A}_{K(A)}= \sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{C}_g} \chi(1)\chi$. Thus one has

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{C}_g} \chi(1)^2 = \frac{\dim A}{|G|} \tag{2.20}$$

and therefore $\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_g) = \frac{\dim A}{|G|}$ where $\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_g)$ is the dimension Frobenius - Peron of $\mathcal{C}_g$ (see [12] for its definition).

2.2.3. *Nilpotent fusion categories.* Recall that a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is called nilpotent if there is a sequence of fusion categories

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathrm{Vec}_k, \mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}$$

such that $\mathcal{C}_i$ is a G_i-extension of $\mathcal{C}_{i-1}$, for some finite groups $G_1, \cdots, G_n$. This is equivalent to the fact that the sequence of adjoint subcategories

$$\mathcal{C} \supseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{C}_2 \cdots \mathcal{C}_n \supseteq \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \cdots \tag{2.21}$$

stops at $\mathcal{C}_m = \mathrm{Vec}$ for some $m \geq 1$. Recall from [15] that the adjoint subcategories are defined inductively by $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n+1} = (\mathcal{C}_n)_{\mathrm{ad}}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

If $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Rep}(A)$ for some semisimple Hopf algebra A it follows that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ad}} = \mathrm{Rep}(A//K(A))$. Moreover there are Hopf subalgebras

$$K_1(A) = K(A) \subseteq \cdots K_n(A) \subseteq K_{n+1}(A) \cdots \tag{2.22}$$

of A such that $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathrm{Rep}(A//K_n(A))$. Thus $\mathrm{Rep}(A)$ is a nilpotent fusion category if and only if $K_m(A) = A$ for some $m \geq 1$.

3. Irreducible modules over generalized quantum doubles

In this section a description of the irreducible modules of semisimple generalized quantum doubles will be given by using Clifford's correspondence for Hopf algebras that was developed in the previous section.

3.1. Generalized quantum doubles of Hopf algebras.

Majid introduced in ([20, Example 7.2.6]) the following generalization of the construction of a Drinfeld double. Let U and H be Hopf algebras and $\lambda : U \otimes H \to k$ be a skew pairing of $U \otimes H$. Recall from [20] that this means that λ is an invertible bilinear map such that

$$\lambda(u, ab) = \lambda(u_2, a)\lambda(u_1, b) \tag{3.1}$$

$$\lambda(uv, a) = \lambda(u, a_1)\lambda(v, a_2) \tag{3.2}$$

$$\lambda(1,\ a) = \epsilon(a) \tag{3.3}$$

$$\lambda(u,\ 1) = \epsilon(u) \tag{3.4}$$

for all $u, v \in U$ and $a, b \in H$. Let $A := U \otimes H$ be the tensor product Hopf algebra of U and H and let $\sigma : A \otimes A \to k$ be the bilinear map defined by

$$\sigma(u \otimes a,\ v \otimes b) = \epsilon(a)\lambda(v, a)\epsilon(b) \tag{3.5}$$

for all $u, v \in U$ and $a, b \in H$. Then it can be easily checked that σ is an invertible 2-cocycle on A (see also [1]) with the inverse given

$$\sigma^{-1}(u \otimes a,\ v \otimes b) = \epsilon(a)\lambda^{-1}(v, a)\epsilon(b),$$

for all $u, v \in U$ and $a, b \in H$. Here $\lambda^{-1}(v,\ a) = \lambda(Sv, a) = \lambda(v, S^{-1}a)$ is the convolution inverse of λ.

The twist Hopf algebra A_σ is called a crossed product Hopf algebra of U and H with respect to λ and it is denoted by $D_\lambda(U, H)$. Note that U and H are both Hopf subalgebras of $D_\lambda(U, H)$ and the multiplication map $m : U \otimes H \to D_\lambda(U, H)$ is bijective. Therefore $D_\lambda(U, H)$ is a particular case of bicrossed product (of U and H) in the sense given by Majid in [20].

The multiplication formula in $D_\lambda(U, H)$ is given by

$$(u \bowtie a)(v \bowtie b) = \lambda(v_1, a_1)\lambda(v_3, S^{-1}a_3)uv_2 \bowtie a_2b$$

and the antipode of $D_\lambda(U, H)$ is given by

$$S(u \bowtie a) = S(a)S(u) = \lambda(Su_3, Sa_3)\lambda(Su_1, a_1)Su_2 \bowtie Sa_2.$$

These double crossed products $D_\lambda(U, H)$ are also called generalized quantum doubles since they generalize the well known construction of a quantum double.

3.1.1. *Definition of* $D_{\lambda_f}(U, H)$. Consider a Hopf algebra map $f : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ and define

$$\lambda_f(u,\ h) = f(u)(h)$$

for all $u \in U$ and $h \in H$. Then λ_f becomes a Hopf skew pairing as above. Moreover the inverse of λ_f is given by

$$\lambda_f^{-1}(u,\ h) = f(u)(S^{-1}h).$$

We will also use the notation $< u, h >:= \lambda_f(u, h)$. Then the cocycle σ from Equation 3.5 becomes

$$\sigma(u \otimes h,\ u' \otimes h') = \epsilon(u) < u', h > \epsilon(h'). \tag{3.6}$$

and the inverse of σ is given by

$$\sigma^{-1}(u \otimes h,\ u' \otimes h') = \epsilon(u) < S^{-1}u', h > \epsilon(h').$$

The above double crossed product Hopf algebra $D_{\lambda_f}(U, H)$ will be shortly denoted below by $D_f(U, H)$.

Remark 3.7. *If U and H are finite dimensional then any skew pairing λ can be written as $\lambda = \lambda_f$ for some Hopf algebra map $f : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$. Indeed, it can be seen that conditions 3.1-3.4 are equivalent to the map $f : U \to H^{*\ \mathrm{cop}}$ given by $u \mapsto \lambda(u,\ -)$ to be a bialgebra morphism and therefore a Hopf algebra morphism since both U and H are finite dimensional. Then clearly $\lambda = \lambda_f$*

Theorem 3.8. *Let $f : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ be a surjective morphism of semisimple Hopf algebras and $A := D_f(U, H)$ be the double crossed product as above. Then the Hopf centre $K(U)$ is a normal Hopf subalgebra of $D_f(U, H)$.*

Proof. Clearly $K(U)$ is closed under the adjoint action of U since it is a central Hopf subalgebra of U. It remains to show that $K(U)$ is closed under the adjoint action of H on A.

Identify A^* with $U^* \otimes H^*$ via

$$< u^* \otimes h^*,\ u \bowtie h >=< u^*,\ u >< h^*,\ h > . \tag{3.9}$$

If $h \in H$ and $u \in K(U)$ then

$$h_1 u S(h_2) = u_2 \bowtie < u_1,\ h_1 >< u_3,\ S^{-1}h_3 > h_2 S(h_4).$$

Then for any $h^* \in H^*$ one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{id} \otimes h^*)(h_1 u S(h_2)) &= (\mathrm{id} \otimes h^*)(u_2 \bowtie < u_1,\ h_1 >< u_3,\ S^{-1}h_3 > h_2 S(h_4)) \\
&= u_2 \bowtie < u_1,\ h_1 >< u_3,\ S^{-1}h_3 >< h^*,\ h_2 S h_4 > \\
&= u_2 \bowtie < u_1,\ h_1 >< u_3,\ S^{-1}h_3 >< h_1^*,\ h_2 >< h_2^*,\ S h_4 > \\
&= u_2 \bowtie < f(u_1) h_1^* (S^{-1} f(u_3)) S(h_2^*),\ h >
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in K(U)$ and f is a surjective Hopf map it follows that $f(u) \in K(H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}})$. Thus for all $h^* \in H^*$ one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{id} \otimes h^*)(h_1 u S(h_2)) &= u_2 \bowtie < f(u_1 S^{-1}(u_3)) h_1^* S(h_2^*),\ h > \\
&= h^*(1) u_2 \bowtie < u_1 S^{-1}(u_3),\ h >
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$h_1 u S(h_2) =< u_1 S(u_3),\ h >\ u_2 \bowtie 1 \tag{3.10}$$

for all $h \in H$. Therefore $K(U)$ is also closed under the adjoint action of H on $D_f(U, H)$. □

Next Lemma can be regarded as generalization of Theorem 3 from [24].

Lemma 3.11. *Let $f : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ be a morphism of semisimple Hopf algebras and $A := D_f(U, H)$ be the double crossed product as above. Then*

$$D_f(U, H) \cong D_{f^*}(H^{\mathrm{op}}, U^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}}$$

as Hopf algebras via $u \otimes h \mapsto h \otimes u$.

Proof. Note that $\lambda_{f^*}(h, u) = \lambda_f(u, h) = f(u)(h)$. Then the rest of the proof is a straightforward computation. □

Corollary 3.12. *Let $f : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ be an injective morphism of semisimple Hopf algebras and $A := D_f(U, H)$ be the double crossed product as above. Then $K(H)$ is a normal Hopf subalgebra of A.*

Proof. Clearly $f^* : H^{\text{op}} \to U^*$ is a surjective morphism of Hopf algebras and one can apply Theorem 3.8 to $D_{f^*}(H^{\text{op}}, U^{\text{op}})$. Then the previous Lemma proves that $K(H)$ is also a normal Hopf subalgebra of $D_f(U, H)$. Indeed $K(H^{\text{op}})$ is a normal Hopf subalgebra of $D_{f^*}(H^{\text{op}}, U^{\text{op}})$ and by the above isomorphism this is sent to the Hopf subalgebra $K(H)$ of $D(U, H)$. □

For any finite group G and any $g \in G$ let $C_G(g)$ be the centralizer of the element $g \in G$. We identify the irreducible kG^*-modules kp_g with their characters $g \in G$.

3.2. **On the central Hopf subalgebra map.** Let $f : U \to H^{*\,\text{cop}}$ be a surjective morphism of semisimple Hopf algebras. Suppose that $K(U) = kG^*$ and $K(H^{*\,\text{cop}}) = kF^*$ for two finite groups G and F. Since f is surjective one has that $f(K(U))$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $K(H^{*\,\text{cop}})$. This implies that

$$f|_{K(U)} : kG^* \to kF^*$$

is a morphism of Hopf algebras. Therefore $f|_{K(U)}$ is induced by a group morphism $f^* : F \to G$. Then for any $g \in G$ it follows that

$$f(p_g) = \sum_{\{x \in F \;|\; f^*(x) = g\}} q_x \tag{3.13}$$

where $\{p_g\}_{g \in G}$ is the dual group element basis in kG^*. Similarly $\{q_x\}_{x \in F}$ is the dual group element basis in kF^*. Now let $\mathcal{C}_1 = \text{Rep}(U)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 = \text{Rep}(H^{*\,\text{cop}})$ be the fusion categories of finite dimensional representations of U and respectively $H^{*\,\text{cop}}$. Thus as in Subsection 2.2.1 we have universal gradings:

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \oplus_{g \in G} (\mathcal{C}_1)_g$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \oplus_{x \in F} (\mathcal{C}_2)_x.$$

Note that if K is a Hopf subalgebra of H then $U \bowtie K$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $D_f(U, H)$.

Theorem 3.14. *Let $f : U \to H^{*\,\text{cop}}$ be a surjective morphism of semisimple Hopf algebras and $A := D_f(U, H)$ be the double crossed product associated to f. Suppose as above that $K(U) = kG^*$ and $K(H^{*\,\text{cop}}) = kF^*$.*

(1) *For any $g \in G$ the conjugate kG^*-modules of g in A (in the sense of 2.1) are all the group conjugate elements $f^*(x) g f^*(x)^{-1}$ with $x \in F$.*

(2) *The stabilizer of a such kG^*-module $g \in \mathrm{Irr}(kG^*)$ is given by*

$$Z_A(g) = U \bowtie L(g) \tag{3.15}$$

where $L(g)$ is the Hopf subalgebra of H such that

$$\mathrm{Rep}(L(g)^*) = \oplus_{\{x \mid f^*(x) \in C_G(g)\}} (\mathcal{C}_2)_x. \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. (1) Since $K(U)$ is a central subalgebra in U by relation 2.2 it follows that every irreducible character of U stabilizes the character $g \in G$.

Let now $d \in \mathrm{Irr}(A^*)$ be an irreducible character of A^*. Then applying Equation 3.10 for $S(d)$ one has the following formula for the conjugate character ${}^d g$:

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^d g)(x) : &= g(Sd_1 x d_2) \\ &= g((Sd)_2 x S((Sd)_1)) \\ &= < Sx_1 x_3,\ S(d) > g(x_2) \\ &= < Sx_3 x_1,\ d > g(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in K(U)$. If $x = p_h$ with $h \in G$ then one has that

$$({}^d g)(p_h) = \sum_{uvw=h} < p_{w^{-1}} p_u,\ d > g(p_v) = < \sum_{\{u \mid ugu^{-1}=h\}} p_u,\ d >$$

Therefore if $d \in (\mathcal{C}_2)_x$ then ${}^d g = \epsilon(d) f^*(x) g f^*(x)^{-1}$.

(2) From the previous formula it follows that $d \in (\mathcal{C}_2)_x$ stabilizes g if and only if $f^*(x)g = gf^*(x)$. Therefore the stabilizer $Z_A(g)$ of g is generated by the Hopf subalgebra U and $L(g)$ where $L(g)$ is the Hopf subalgebra of H with the property:

$$\mathrm{Rep}(L(g)^*) = \oplus_{\{x \mid f^*(x) \in C_G(g)\}} (\mathcal{C}_2)_x. \tag{3.17}$$

□

Remark 3.18. *From the proof above one can see that the irreducible characters $d \in \mathrm{Irr}(L(g)^*)$ are determine by the relation*

$$< Sx_3 x_1, d > g(x_2) = g(x)\epsilon(d)$$

for all $x \in K(U)$.

3.3. Clifford Theory for generalized quantum doubles.

Theorem 3.19. *Let $f : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ be a surjective morphism of semisimple Hopf algebras and $A := D_f(U, H)$ be the generalized quantum double associated to f. Then Clifford's correspondence holds for the normal extension $K(U) \subset D_f(U, H)$ and for any $g \in \mathrm{Irr}(K(U))$.*

Proof. Let as above $Z_A(g) := U \bowtie L(g)$ be the stabilizer of g. By Theorem 2.15 to show that Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $K(U) \subset D_f(U, H)$ one has to prove that

$$m_{K(U)}(g \uparrow_{K(U)}^{Z_A(g)} \downarrow_{K(U)}^{Z_A(g)},\ g) = m_{K(U)}(g \uparrow_{K(U)}^{A} \downarrow_{K(U)}^{A},\ g)$$

for all $g \in G$.

Note that F acts on G via conjugation by f^*:

$$ {}^{x}g := f^*(x) g f^*(x)^{-1}. \tag{3.20}$$

Thus the size of the orbit $\mathcal{O}(g)$ of g is $\frac{|F|}{|\mathrm{Stab}_F(x)|}$ where $\mathrm{Stab}_F(x) := \{x \in F \mid f^*(x) \in C_G(g)\}$.

Theorem 3.14 implies that the conjugate A-modules of g are exactly the elements of $\mathcal{O}(g)$, the orbit of $g \in G$ under the action of F. Then by Proposition 2.5 one has

$$g \uparrow_{K(U)}^{A} \downarrow_{K(U)}^{A} = \frac{\dim A}{\dim K(U) |\mathcal{O}(g)|} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{O}(g)} h \tag{3.21}$$

Since $|\mathcal{O}(g)| = \frac{|F|}{|\mathrm{Stab}_F(g)|}$ and $\dim K(U) = |G|$ one has that

$$m_{K(U)}(g,\ g \uparrow_{K(U)}^{A} \downarrow_{K(U)}^{A}) = \frac{\dim A}{\dim K(U) |\mathcal{O}(g)|} = \frac{(\dim A)|\mathrm{Stab}_F(g)|}{|F||G|} \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand since g is $Z_A(g)$-stable one has by the same Proposition 2.5 that

$$g \uparrow_{K(U)}^{Z_A(g)} \downarrow_{K(U)}^{Z_A(g)} = \frac{\dim Z_A(g)}{\dim K(U)} g.$$

Then it follows that

$$m_{K(U)}(g,\ g \uparrow_{K(U)}^{Z_A(g)} \downarrow_{K(U)}^{Z_A(g)}) = \frac{\dim Z_A(g)}{\dim K(U)} = \frac{(\dim L(g))(\dim U)}{\dim K(U)}. \tag{3.23}$$

On the other hand formula 2.20 and definition 3.16 of $L(g)$ imply that

$$\dim L(g) = \frac{\dim H}{|F|} |\{x \in F \mid f^*(x) \in C_G(g)\}| = \frac{\dim H}{|F|} |\mathrm{Stab}_F(g)|.$$

Thus

$$\dim Z_A(g) = \frac{(\dim H)(\dim U)|\mathrm{Stab}_F(g)|}{|F|} = \frac{(\dim A)|\mathrm{Stab}_F(g)|}{|F|}$$

and Equation 3.23 becomes

$$m_{K(U)}(g,\ g \uparrow_{K(U)}^{Z_A(g)} \downarrow_{K(U)}^{Z_A(g)}) = \frac{\dim Z_A(g)}{\dim K(U)} = \frac{(\dim A)|\mathrm{Stab}_F(g)|}{|F||G|}. \tag{3.24}$$

Comparing Equations 3.22 and 3.24 it follows the Clifford correspondence holds for any $g \in \mathrm{Irr}(K(U))$. $\square$

For any $g \in G$ define the set

$$\mathcal{I}_g = \{M \in \mathrm{Irr}(U \bowtie L(g)) \,|M_{K(U)} = g \dim\ M\} \tag{3.25}$$

Since Clifford correspondence holds for any $g \in \mathrm{Irr}(kG^*)$ one can now give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Applying Clifford's correspondence from Subsection 2.1.7 it follows that any irreducible $D_f(U,H)$-module that seats over $g \in G$ is induced from an irreducible module of $U \bowtie L(g)$. Let M be such a module. Then it is easy to show

$$D_f(U,H) \otimes_{U \bowtie L(g)} M \cong H \otimes_{L(g)} M$$

via the map

$$(u \bowtie h) \otimes_{U \bowtie L(g)} m \mapsto < u_1, Sh_1 >< u_3, h_3 > h_2 \otimes_{L(g)} u_2 m. \quad \square$$

Denote by $S_{g,M}$ the simple $D_f(U,H)$-module $H \otimes_{L(g)} M$ from above. One also has the following:

Corollary 3.26. *With the hypothesis from the previous Theorem there is a bijection $S_{g,M} \mapsto (g,M)$ between the set of irreducible $D_f(U,H)$-modules and the pairs (g,M) with $M \in \mathcal{I}_g$ and $g \in \Gamma$ where $\Gamma \subset G$ is a set of representative elements of the orbits of the action 3.20 of F on G.*

3.3.1. *On the Drinfeld double of a Hopf algebra.* Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra. It is well known that the Drinfeld double $D(A)$ is a double crossed product of $U = A^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ with $H = A$ where the map $f : U^{*\,\mathrm{cop}} \to H$ is the identity $\mathrm{id}_{A^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}$. Therefore

$$D_{\mathrm{id}_{A^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}}(A^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(A)$$

as Hopf algebras via the identity map. Then the group morphism f^* from Equation 3.13 also becomes the identity morphism from G to itself. Thus $K(A^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}) = k[G]^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ is a normal Hopf subalgebra of $D(A)$. For any element $g \in G$ one has that the stabilizer $Z_{D(A)}(g)$ is given by $A^{*\,\mathrm{cop}} \bowtie L(g)$. Thus Proposition 1.1 gives that any irreducible $D(A)$-module is of the form $A^{*\,\mathrm{cop}} \otimes_{L(g)} M$ for some irreducible left $A^{*\,cop} \bowtie L(g)$-module $M \in \mathcal{I}_g$.

In the example bellow we will see that this description for irreducible modules generalizes the well known description for irreducible modules over $D(G)$, the Drinfeld double of a finite group G (see [19] or [29]).

3.3.2. *The Drinfeld double of a group.* Let G be a finite group and $D(G)$ be the quantum double associated to G. It is well known that any irreducible $D(G)$-module is of the form $kG \otimes_{kC_G(g)} M$ for an irreducible $C_G(g)$-module (see for example [28].) Next example shows that this description follows from Theorem1.1.

Example 3.27. *Let G be a finite group. Then as above $D(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\mathrm{id}}(kG^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, kG)$ as Hopf algebras. Thus in this situation $K(kG^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}) = kG^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$. Moreover since $\mathrm{Irr}(kG^*) = G$ Formula 3.16 implies that the Hopf subalgebra $L(g)$ of kG coincides to the group algebra $kC_G(g)$ of the stabilizer subgroup of g. Then it is also easy to check that $\mathcal{I}_g = \mathrm{Irr}(C_G(g))$ in this situation. Indeed, an irreducible $kG^{*\,\mathrm{cop}} \bowtie kC_G(g)$ module with $M|_{kG^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}} = g(\dim\ M)$ is completely determined by the action of $C_G(g)$. Thus in this case one obtains the well known description of irreducible modules over $D(G)$ from* [28].

4. Generalized quantum doubles as cocentral extensions and equivariantizations

Recall that a group G acts by tensor equivalences on a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ if there is a tensor functor $\rho : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{\otimes}\mathcal{C}$. This means that, for every $g \in G$, there is a k-linear tensor functor $\rho^g : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and natural isomorphisms of tensor functors $\rho_2^{g,h} : \rho^g\rho^h \to \rho^{gh}$, $g, h \in G$, and unity tensor isomorphism $\rho_0 : \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \rho^e$, subject to some natural compatibility conditions (see [27]). If G acts by tensor equivalences on a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ then one can construct the fusion category $\mathcal{C}^G$ of invariant objects as in [27]. Simple objects of general equivariantizations will be described in [8].

4.0.3. *The equivariant category associated to a cocentral extension.* Recall that an exact sequence of Hopf algebras

$$k \to A \xrightarrow{i} H \xrightarrow{\pi} kG \to k \tag{4.1}$$

is called cocentral if $kG^* \subset \mathcal{Z}(H^*)$ via π^*. Following Proposition 3.5 of [21] it follows that for any such extension one has that G acts on $\mathrm{Rep}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Rep}(H) = \mathrm{Rep}(A)^G$.

4.1. The cocentral extension associated to a double crossed product.

Let $f : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ be any morphism of Hopf algebras and $D_f(U, H)$ be the double crossed product associated to f. As in Equation 3.9 identify $D_f(U, H)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} U^* \otimes H^*$ as algebras via the evaluation

$$< u^* \otimes h^*,\ u \bowtie h >= u^*(u)h^*(h) \tag{4.2}$$

for all $u^* \in U^*$, $u \in U$, $h^* \in H^*$ and $h \in H$.

Lemma 4.3. *Under the identification* $D_f(U,H)^* \cong U^* \otimes H^*$ *from Equation 4.2 the comultiplication on* $D_f(U,H)^*$ *becomes the following:*

$$\Delta(u^* \otimes h^*) = (u_1^* \otimes f(e_i)h_1^* f(e_j)) \otimes (e_i^* u_2^* S^{-1}(e_j^*) \otimes h_2^*) \tag{4.4}$$

where $\{e_i\}_i$ *is a basis on* U *and* $\{e_i^*\}_i$ *is the dual basis on* U^*.

Proof. One has

$$\begin{aligned}(u^* \otimes h^*)((x \bowtie m)(y \bowtie l)) &= (u^* \otimes h^*)(xy_2 \bowtie m_2 l) < m_1,\ y_1 >< m_3, Sy_3 > \\ &= u^*(xy_2)h^*(m_2 l) < m_1,\ y_1 >< m_3, Sy_3 >\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned}& < u_1^* \otimes f(e_i)h_1^* f(e_j),\ x \bowtie m >< e_i^* u_2^* S^{-1}(e_j)^* \otimes h_2^*, y \bowtie l > \\ = \ & u_1^*(x)(f(e_i)h_1^* f(e_j))(m)(e_i^* u_2^* S^{-1}(e_j^*))(y)h_2^*(l) \\ = \ & u_1^*(x)f(e_i)(m_1)h_1^*(m_2)f(e_j)(m_3)(e_i^*)(y_1)u_2^*(y_2)S^{-1}e_j^*(y_3)h_2^*(l) \\ = \ & u_1^*(x)f(y_1)(m_1)f(S^{-1}y_3)(m_3)h^*(m_2 l)u_2^*(y_2) \\ = \ & u_1^*(xy_2)h^*(l_2 m) < m_1,\ y_1 >< m_3, Sy_3 >\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and $m, l \in H$. $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *Let* $f : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ *be a Hopf algebra morphism. Suppose that* $K(U^*) = kG^*$ *and let* $\pi_{K(U^*)} : U^* \to U^*//K(U^*)$ *be the canonical Hopf projection. With the above notations it follows that:*

$$k \to D_{f\pi^*_{K(U^*)}}((U^*//K(U^*))^*, H) \to D_f(U,H) \to kG \to k \tag{4.6}$$

is a cocentral extension of Hopf algebras. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Rep}(D_f(U,H)) \cong \mathrm{Rep}(D_{f\pi^*_{K(U^*)}}((U^*//K(U^*))^*, H))^G$$

as fusion categories.

Proof. It is a straightforward computation to verify that the Hopf subalgebra $D_{f\pi^*_{K(U^*)}}((U^*//K(U^*))^*, H)$ is normal inside $D_f(U,H)$. Moreover

$$D_f(U,H)//D_{f\pi^*_{K(U^*)}}((U^*//K(U^*))^*, H) \cong U//((U^*//K(U^*))^*$$

and

$$U//(U^*//K(U^*))^* \cong K(U^*)^* = kG.$$

It remains to show that the above sequence is cocentral namely that $K(U^*)$ is a central Hopf subalgebra of $D_f(U,H)^*$. The identification from Equation 4.2 shows that $K(U^*)$ is a central subalgebra of $D_f(U,H)^*$ since it is a central subalgebra of U^*. It remains to show that $K(U^*)$ is a subcoalgebra of $D_f(U,H)^*$. In order to see this one has to use the comultiplication Formula 4.4 from above. Indeed if $u^* \in K(U^*)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u^* \otimes \epsilon_{H^*}) &= \sum_{i,j} (u_1^* \otimes f(e_i)f(e_j)) \otimes (e_i^* u_2^* S^{-1}(e_j^*) \otimes \epsilon_{H^*}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (u_1^* \otimes f(e_i e_j)) \otimes (e_i^* S^{-1}(e_j^*) u_2^* \otimes \epsilon_{H^*}) \\ &= (u_1^* \otimes \epsilon_{H^*}) \otimes (u_2^* \otimes \epsilon_{H^*})\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that

$$e_i^* S^{-1}(e_j^*) \otimes e_i e_j = \epsilon_{U^*} \otimes 1 \tag{4.7}$$

which can be proved by straightforward computation. □

Using the reconstruction theorem from [2] it follows that

$$D_f(U,H) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{f\pi^*_{K(U^*)}}((U^*//K(U^*))^*, H)\ {}^{\tau}\#_{\sigma}\ kG \tag{4.8}$$

for some cocycle σ and dual cocyle τ. In the case of a nilpotent fusion category $\mathrm{Rep}(U^*)$ (in the sense of [15]) one can iterate this construction and get the following:

Corollary 4.9. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{Rep}(U^*)$ *is a nilpotent fusion category. Then* $D_f(U,H)$ *is isomorphic as Hopf algebras with the an iteration of cocentral extensions:*

$$H\ {}^{\tau_r}\#_{\sigma_r} kG_r\ {}^{\tau_{r-1}}\#_{\sigma_{r-1}} \cdots\ {}^{\tau_1}\#_{\sigma_1} kG_1.$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Rep}(U^*)$ is a nilpotent fusion category, with the notations from Subsection 2.2.3 it follows that $K_n(U^*) = U^*$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then one has to iterate for n-times the construction from Equation 4.8 to get the above isomorphism. □

5. Categorical interpretation of the double crossed product

It is well known (see [16]) that $D(A)$-mod is equivalent as braided categories to the center $\mathcal{Z}(A-\mathrm{mod})$ of the category of A-modules. We generalize below this example using the relative center of a monoidal functor.

5.1. **The relative center of a monoidal functor.** Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be monoidal categories, and let $(F, F_2, F_0) : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal functor. Recall that in this situation $F_2(X,Y) : F(X \otimes Y) \to F(X) \otimes F(Y)$ and $F_0 : F(1_{\mathcal{D}}) \to 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ are isomorphism satisfying the compatibility axioms from [**?**].

As in [4] define the relative center $\mathcal{Z}_F(\mathcal{C})$ of F as the following category:

Objects of $\mathcal{Z}_F(\mathcal{C})$ are pairs (V, γ), where V is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and γ is a natural transformation

$$\{\gamma_X : V \otimes F(X) \to F(X) \otimes V\}_{X \in \mathcal{D}}$$

satisfying:

$$(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes F_2(X,Y))\gamma_{X\otimes Y} = (\gamma_X \otimes \mathrm{id}_{F(Y)})(\mathrm{id}_{F(X)} \otimes \gamma_Y)(F_2(X,Y) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V), \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$(F_0 \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = \gamma_{1_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes F_0) \tag{5.2}$$

Morphisms $u : (V, \gamma) \to (W, \delta)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_F(\mathcal{C})$ are morphisms $u : V \to W$ in $\mathcal{C}$ that are compatible with γ and δ, i.e

$$(u \otimes \mathrm{id}_X)\gamma_X = \delta_X(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes u) \tag{5.3}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$. The relative center category $Z_F(\mathcal{C})$ is a monoidal category (see [4]), with the tensor product defined by

$$(V, \gamma) \otimes (W, \gamma') = (V \otimes W, (\gamma \otimes \mathrm{id}_W)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \gamma')). \tag{5.4}$$

Moreover there is a canonical forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{Z}_F(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ which is clearly monoidal.

Remark 5.5. *Note that $\mathcal{C}$ becomes a $\mathcal{D}$-bimodule category via F and the relative center $Z_F(\mathcal{C})$ from above coincides to the relative center of a bimodule category introduced in* [14].

There are also two canonical functors

$$F_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{Z}_F(\mathcal{C}) \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$F_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{Z}_F(\mathcal{C}) \tag{5.7}$$

obtained from the braidings of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ as following. The functor $F_{\mathcal{C}}$ is obtained by restriction of the braiding on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ to the image of F. The other functor $F_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{Z}_F(\mathcal{C})$ is defined by applying F to the braiding from $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$.

Remark 5.8. *Note that for $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}$ and F identity one obtains the usual Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$ of the fusion category $\mathcal{D}$.*

The category $\mathcal{Z}_F(\mathcal{C})$ is also denoted by $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C})$ if the functor F is implicitly understood.

5.2. **Relative centers and double crossed products.** Suppose that $f : U \to H$ is a morphism of semisimple Hopf algebras. Therefore f induces a functor $f_* : \mathrm{Rep}(H) \to \mathrm{Rep}(U)$ and one can consider the relative center $\mathcal{Z}_{f_*}(\mathrm{Rep}(H))$.

The proof of the following Proposition follows the lines from [16].

Proposition 5.9. *With the above notations one has the following equivalence of fusion categories:*

$$\mathrm{Rep}(D_{f^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}(H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, U)) \cong \mathcal{Z}_{f_*}(\mathrm{Rep}(H)) \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} := \mathrm{Rep}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D} := \mathrm{Rep}(H)$. Thus one has $f_* : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$. If $(V, \gamma^V) \in \mathcal{Z}_{f_*}(\mathcal{C})$ then define $\rho(v) := \gamma^V_H(1 \otimes v) \in V \otimes H$. The property

$$\gamma^V_{H \otimes H} = (\gamma^V_H \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \gamma^V_H)$$

implies that V is a H-right comodule and therefore a left $(H)^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ - module. On the other hand the fact that γ^V_H is H-linear implies that the left H-action and the left U-action satisfy the necessary compatibility conditions in order to obtain that V is a $D_{f^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}(H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, U)$-module. In this way one obtains a tensor functor $F : \mathcal{Z}_{f_*}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Rep}(D_{f^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}(H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, U))$ given by $(V, \gamma^V) \mapsto V$ with the above $D_{f^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}(H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, U)$-module structure of V.

Conversely, define the functor $G : \mathrm{Rep}(D_{f^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}(H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, U)) \to \mathcal{Z}_{f_*}(\mathcal{C})$ as following. For any $D_{f^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}(H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, U)$-module V define $\gamma^V_X : X \otimes V \to V \otimes X$ by $x \otimes v \mapsto v_0 \otimes v_1 x$ where $\rho(v) = v_0 \otimes v_1$ is the H-comdule structure induced on V by the left $H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$-module structure. It can be checked that γ^V_X is U-linear and the diagram 5.1 is satisfied. Moreover γ^V_X is invertible with the inverse given by $x \otimes v \mapsto v_0 \otimes S(v_1)x$. $\square$

Note that there is a Hopf algebra map $D_{f^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}(H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, U) \to D(U)$ given by $h^* \bowtie u \mapsto f^{*\,cop}(h^*) \bowtie u$. At the category level this map induces the functor $f_{*\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{Z}_{f_*}(\mathcal{D})$ from 5.7. Also the Hopf algebra map $D_{f^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}}(H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, U) \to D(H)$ given by $h^* \bowtie u \mapsto h^* \bowtie f(u)$ induces the other functor $f_{*\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{Z}_{f_*}(\mathcal{D})$ from 5.6.

5.3. **On the quantum double of a semisimple Hopf algebra.** Suppose that A is a semisimple Hopf algebra and let $D(A)$ be its quantum double. Then as we already remarked $D(A)$ is a double crossed product of A and $A^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$, namely $D(A) = D_{\mathrm{id}}(A^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, A)$. Therefore one can apply the results of Theorem 4.5 section to this particular case.

Let $G = U(A)$ be the universal grading group of the fusion category $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Rep}(A)$. Then the universal grading of $\mathcal{C}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g \tag{5.11}$$

where $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{C}_1 = \mathrm{Rep}(A//K(A))$. Then as in Proposition 5.9 one obtains that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{Rep}(D_{\pi^*_{K(A)}}((A//K(A))^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}, A))$$

where $D_{\pi^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}_{K(A)}}((A//K(A))^*, A) = (A//K(A))^{*\,\mathrm{cop}} \bowtie A$.

For the rest of this subsection let $B := (A//K(A))^{*\,\mathrm{cop}} \bowtie A$.

Proposition 5.12. *The Hopf algebra $K(A)$ is a central Hopf subalgebra of B and therefore there is a canonical induced grading by G on $\mathrm{Rep}(B)$.*

Proof. Indeed if $x \in K(A)$ and $f \in (A//K(A))^*$ then one has that $xf = (x_1 \rightharpoonup f \leftharpoonup Sx_3) \otimes x_2 = f \bowtie \epsilon(Sx_3x_1)x_2 = f \bowtie x$. Thus $K(A)$ is central Hopf subalgebra of $B = (A//K(A))^* \bowtie A$. The induced canonical grading by G is given by $M \in \mathrm{Rep}(B)$ if and only if $M \downarrow^B_{K(A)} = (\dim M)g$. □

Note that from the proof of Proposition 5.9 it follows that the graded components are given by $\mathrm{Rep}(B)_g = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C}_g)$. Thus we obtain the grading

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C}) = \oplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C}_g)$$

which coincides with the grading (18) from page 10 of [14].

On the other hand applying Theorem 4.5 it follows that one has the following cocentral extension of $D(A)$:

$$k \to B \to D(A) \to kG \to k \tag{5.13}$$

Using Proposition 3.5 of [21] this proves the fact $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C})^G \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover using the notation from Section 3 it follows that $\mathcal{I}_g$ coincides to the set of simple $C_G(g)$-equivariants objects of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C}_g)^{C_G(g)}$. Then the bijection from Corollary 3.26 corresponds to the bijection described in Proposition 3.9 of [14].

6. On the Grothendieck ring of a generalized quantum double

6.1. Hopf subalgebras arising from the dual universal grading.

Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra with $K(H^*) = kF^*$ for some finite group F. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Rep}(H^*)$ be the category of finite dimensional left H^*-modules and

$$\mathcal{C} := \oplus_{x \in F} \mathcal{C}_x \tag{6.1}$$

be the universal grading of $\mathcal{C}$.

6.1.1. To any irreducible character $d \in \mathrm{Irr}(H^*)$ one can associate a simple subcoalgebra C_d of H as in [17]. Then for any subset $X \subset F$ one can define a subcoalgebra $H(X)$ of H as the sum of the simple subcoalgebras C_d of H verifying:

$$H(X)^* = \bigoplus_{\{d \in \mathrm{Irr}(H^*) \mid d \in \mathcal{C}_x \text{ for some } x \in X\}} C_d \tag{6.2}$$

By [23] it follows $H(X)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of H if and only if X is a subgroup of F. Moreover note that

$$\dim H(X) = |X| \mathrm{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_1) \tag{6.3}$$

for any subset $X \subset F$.

From Equation 3.16 it follows that $L(g) = H(F_g)$ for any $g \in G$.

Suppose that M and N are subgroups of F and $d \in \mathrm{Irr}(H^*)$ with $d \in \mathcal{C}_x$ under the grading from Equation 6.1. Note that the double coset $H(M)C_dH(N)$ in $H(M)\backslash H/H(N)$ is given by

$$H(M)C_dH(N) = \oplus_{t \in MxN} C_t \tag{6.4}$$

where $d \in \mathcal{C}_f$. See [6] for the definition of double cosets for Hopf subalgebras. Thus there is a bijection between the Hopf algebra double cosets $H(M)\backslash H/H(N)$ and the group double cosets $M\backslash F/N$. The coset $H(M)C_dH(N)$ corresponds to the double coset of $MxN \in M\backslash F/N$ where $x \in F$ is chosen by $d \in \mathcal{C}_x$.

Remark 6.5. *Note that if N is a subgroup of F and $X \subset F$ such that $NX \subseteq X$ then $H(X)$ is a left $H(N)$-module by Theorem of* [23]. *Similarly, if $XN \subseteq X$ then $H(X)$ is a right-$H(N)$ module.*

6.1.2. Since $K(H^*) = kF^* \subset H^*$ note that for any $a \in H(X)$ one has that $p_y(a) = 0$ if $y \notin X$. On the other hand since $\sum_{x \in F} p_x = \epsilon_H$ it follows that

$$\sum_{x \in X} p_x(h) = \epsilon(h) \tag{6.6}$$

for all $a \in H(X)$ and any subset $X \subset F$.

Note also that for any $x, y \in F$ and $a \in H$

$$p_x(a_1)p_y(a_3)a_2 = \delta_{x,y} p_x(a_1)a_2 \tag{6.7}$$

since p_g and p_h are central elements of H^*. Indeed for any $f \in H^*$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} f(p_x(a_1)p_y(a_3)a_2) &= (p_x f p_y)(a) = \delta_{x,y}(p_x f)(a) \\ &= f(\delta_{x,y} p_x(a_1)a_2). \end{aligned}$$

6.2. **On the Grothendieck ring structure of $D_f(U,H)$.** As above consider $f : U \to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ be a surjective Hopf algebra map and let $A := D_f(U,H)$. Then F acts on G as in Equation 3.20 via ${}^{x}g = f^*(x)gf^*(x)^{-1}$. For any $g \in G$ let F_g be the stabilizer of G under this action and denote by $\mathcal{O}(g)$ the orbit generated by g. Thus

$$|\mathcal{O}(g)| = \frac{|F|}{|F_g|} \tag{6.8}$$

Lemma 6.9. *Let $i : L \hookrightarrow H$ be a Hopf subalgebra of H and suppose that M is a $D_{i^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}f}(U,L)$-module. Then $H \otimes_L M$ is $D_f(U,H)$-module with the regular action of H and the structure of U-module given by*

$$u(h \otimes_L m) =< u_1, Sh_1 >< u_3, h_3 > (h_2 \otimes_L u_2 m) \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. Note that $D_{i^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}f}(U,L) = U \bowtie L \subset U \bowtie H$ is a subalgebra of $D_f(U,H)$. Then

$$M \uparrow^{D_f(U,H)}_{D_{i^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}f}(U,L)} = (U \bowtie H) \otimes_{U\bowtie L} M \cong H \otimes_L M \tag{6.11}$$

and the module structure coincides with the one from above. $\square$

6.2.1. *On the conjugate modules ${}^{x}M$.* Let I_g be the abelian full subcategory of $U \bowtie L(g)$-mod generated by the set of irreducible modules $M \in \mathcal{I}_g$. We extend the notation $S_{g,M}$ for any $M \in \mathrm{I}_g$, not necessarily irreducible $U \bowtie L(g)$-module. Thus if $M \in \mathrm{I}_g$ then

$$S_{g,M} := H \otimes_{L(g)} M \tag{6.12}$$

with the action of U defined as in Equation 6.10.

For any $x \in F$ and $M \in \mathcal{I}_g$ define the following left $L({}^{x}g)$-module

$${}^{x}M := H(xF_g) \otimes_{L(g)} M. \tag{6.13}$$

Since $F_{{}^{x}g} = xF_gx^{-1}$ it follows $F_{{}^{x}g}(xF_g) = xF_g$ and therefore ${}^{x}M$ is a $L({}^{x}g)$-module via left multiplication. Note also that dim ${}^{x}M = \dim M$.

Then it can be easily checked that ${}^{x}M$ is a $U \bowtie L({}^{x}g)$-module via

$$(u \bowtie a)(h \otimes_{L(g)} m) =< u_1, S(a_1h_1) >< u_3, a_3h_3 > a_2h_2 \otimes_{L(g)} u_2m \tag{6.14}$$

Indeed applying Lemma 6.9 one has that $H \otimes_{L(g)} M$ is a $D_f(U,H)$-module and in particular a $U \bowtie L({}^{x}g)$-module. Then it can be checked that ${}^{x}M$ is a $U \bowtie L({}^{x}g)$-submodule of $H \otimes_{L(g)} M$.

Lemma 6.15. *With the above notations it follows that ${}^{x}M \in \mathrm{I}_{{}^{x}g}$.*

Proof. One has to check that ${}^xM\downarrow^U_{K(U)}= {}^xg\ \dim M$. Since $M\downarrow^U_{K(U)}= g\ \dim M$ one has that $p_bm=\delta_{b,g}m$ for all $b\in G$.

If $l\in G$ using the module structure from Lemma 6.9 one has

$$\begin{aligned}
p_l(h\otimes_{L(g)} m) &= \sum_{abc=l} <p_a,h_1><p_c,Sh_3> h_2\otimes_{L(g)} p_bm\\
&= \sum_{abc=l} <p_a,h_1><p_c,Sh_3> h_2\otimes_{L(g)} \delta_{g,b}m\\
&= \sum_{agc=l} <p_a,h_1><p_c,Sh_3> h_2\otimes_{L(g)} m\\
&= \sum_{agc=l} <p_a,h_1><p_{c^{-1}},h_3> h_2\otimes_{L(g)} m
\end{aligned}$$

Applying now Equation 6.7 (for $H=U^*$) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
p_l(h\otimes_{L(g)} m) &= \sum_{agc=l} <p_a,h_1><p_{c^{-1}},h_3> h_2\otimes_{L(g)} m\\
&= \sum_{agc=l} \delta_{a,c^{-1}} <p_a,h_1> h_2\otimes_{L(g)} m\\
&= \sum_{\{a\in G\mid aga^{-1}=l\}} <p_a,h_1> h_2\otimes_{L(g)} m
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by formula 3.13 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\{a\in G\mid aga^{-1}=l\}} <p_a,h_1> h_2 &= \sum_{\{a\in G\mid aga^{-1}=l\}}\ \sum_{\{y\in F\mid f^*(y)=a\}} q_y(h)\\
&= \sum_{\{y\in F\mid f^*(y)gf^*(y)^{-1}=l\}} q_y(h)
\end{aligned}$$

Using also Equation 6.6 for $X=xF_g$ it follows that

$$\sum_{\{a\in G\mid aga^{-1}=l\}} <p_a,h_1> h_2 = \sum_{\{y\in xF_g\mid f^*(y)gf^*(y)^{-1}=l\}} q_y(h_1)h_2$$

On the other hand it can be easily checked that the following set $\{y\in xF_g\mid f^*(y)gf^*(y)^{-1}=l\}$ is not empty if and only if $l={}^xg$ and in this case it coincides to xF_g. Thus

$$p_l(h\otimes_{L(g)} m) = \delta_{l,{}^xg}(\sum_{y\in xF_g} q_y(h_1)h_2)\otimes_{L(g)} m = \delta_{l,{}^xg}\ h\otimes_{L(g)} m$$

which finishes the proof. □

Lemma 6.16. *With the above notations one has that* $H\otimes_{H(F_g)} M\cong H\otimes_{H(F_{{}^xg})} {}^xM$ *as* $D_f(U,H)$*-modules.*

Proof. One can check that the map

$$H \otimes_{H(F_{{}^xg})} (H(xF_g) \otimes_{H(F_g)} M) \xrightarrow{\psi} H \otimes_{H(F_g)} M$$

given by

$$a \otimes_{L({}^xg)} (b \otimes_{L(g)} m) \mapsto ab \otimes_{L(g)} m \tag{6.17}$$

is an isomorphism of $D_f(U, H)$-modules. Indeed, since $F_{{}^xg} = xF_gx^{-1}$ it follows by Remark 6.5 that ψ is a well defined linear map. On the other hand, clearly ψ is a surjective morphism of $D_f(U, H)$-modules. Since both the domain and codomain have the same dimension it follows that ϕ is isomorphism. $\square$

Lemma 6.18. *Suppose that $M \in \mathcal{I}_g$. With the above notations one has that:*

$${}^y({}^xM) \cong {}^{yx}M \tag{6.19}$$

as $U \bowtie L({}^{yx}g)$-modules.

Proof. One can check that the following map:

$${}^y({}^xM) = H(yF_{{}^xg}) \otimes_{H(F_{{}^xg})} (H(xF_g) \otimes_{H(F_g)} M) \xrightarrow{\phi} H(yxF_g) \otimes_{H(F_{{}^{yx}g})} M \tag{6.20}$$

given bx $\phi(a \otimes_{H(F_{{}^xg})} (b \otimes_{H(F_g)} m)) = ab \otimes_{H(F_{{}^{yx}g})} m$ is a well defined surjective morphism of $U \bowtie L({}^{yx}g)$-modules. Since both modules have the same dimension it follows that this is an isomorphism. Note that ϕ is just the restriction of the above map ϕ to the domain $H(yF_{{}^xg}) \otimes_{H(F_{{}^xg})} (H(xF_g) \otimes_{H(F_g)} M)$. $\square$

Since ${}^1M = M$ this implies that ${}^xM$ is also an irreducible $U \bowtie L({}^xg)$-modules if M is an irreducible $U \bowtie L(g)$-module. Thus one has that ${}^x(\mathcal{I}_g) = \mathcal{I}_{{}^xg}$ and in this way one can define a function

$$c_{x,g} : \mathcal{I}_g \to \mathcal{I}_{{}^xg} \tag{6.21}$$

This define an action of F on $\sqcup_{g \in G} \mathcal{I}_g$.

6.2.2. Note that $L(g) \cap L(h) \subseteq L(gh)$ for any $g, h \in G$.

Lemma 6.22. *There is a well defined map:*

$$m_{g,h} : \mathrm{I}_g \times \mathrm{I}_h \to \mathrm{I}_{gh} \tag{6.23}$$

given by

$$m_{g,h}(M, N) := (M \downarrow^{L(g)}_{L(g)\cap L(h)} \otimes N \downarrow^{L(h)}_{L(g)\cap L(h)}) \uparrow^{L(gh)}_{L(g)\cap L(h)} \tag{6.24}$$

for any $M \in \mathrm{I}_g$ and $N \in \mathrm{I}_h$.

Proof. Indeed $M \in U \bowtie L(g)$-mod and $N \in U \bowtie L(h)$-mod then clearly $m_{g,h}(M, N) \in U \bowtie L(gh)$-mod. Note that the above $U \bowtie L(gh)$-module structure is obtained by induction as in Lemma 6.9. Moreover, similarly to Lemma 6.15 it can be checked by a straightforward computation that $m_{g,h}(M, N) \in \mathrm{I}_{gh}$. $\square$

6.3. **On the fusion rules of generalized quantum doubles.** Using a Mackey type argument we obtain the following formula for the restriction of any irreducible $D_f(U, H)$-module to the Hopf subalgebras $U \bowtie L(h)$ of $D_f(U, H)$.

Lemma 6.25. *For any $M \in \mathcal{I}_g$ one has that*

$$H \otimes_{L(g)} M \cong \bigoplus_{x\in\mathcal{D}} (L(h) \otimes_{L(h)\cap L({}^xg)} {}^xM \downarrow^{L({}^xg)}_{L(h)\cap L({}^xg)}) \tag{6.26}$$

as $U \bowtie L(h)$-modules where $\mathcal{D}$ is a set of representatives for the double cosets $F_h\backslash F/F_g$

Proof. Note that for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$ the map
(6.27)
$$\phi_x : H(F_h) \otimes_{H(F_h)\cap H(F_{{}^xg})} (H(xF_g) \otimes_{H(F_g)} M) \to H(F_hxF_g) \otimes_{H(F_g)} M$$
given by

$$a \otimes_{H(F_h)\cap H(F_{{}^xg})} (b \otimes_{H(F_g)} m) \mapsto ab \otimes_{H(F_g)} m \tag{6.28}$$

is well defined and a surjective morphism of left $L(h)$-modules. By a direct computation it can be checked that ϕ_x is also a morphism of U-modules and therefore a morphism of $U \bowtie L(h)$-modules. Since

$$|F_hxF_g| = \frac{|F_h||F_{{}^xg}|}{|F_h \cap F_{{}^xg}|} \tag{6.29}$$

it follows by Equation 6.3 that both $U \bowtie L(h)$-modules have the same dimension and therefore ϕ_x is an isomorphism. Then $\phi_{g,h} := \oplus_{x\in\mathcal{D}}\phi_x$ provides an isomorphism between the two left $U \bowtie L(h)$-modules from Equation 6.26. $\square$

Lemma 6.30. *Suppose that K and L are Hopf subalgebras of H and M and N are left K and respectively L-modules. Then*

$$\phi : (H \otimes_L N) \otimes (H \otimes_K M) \to H \otimes_K ((H \otimes_L N) \downarrow^H_K \otimes M) \tag{6.31}$$

given by $(a \otimes_L n) \otimes (b \otimes_K m) \mapsto b_2 \otimes_K ((S^{-1}b_1a \otimes_L n) \otimes m)$ is an isomorphism of H-modules.

Proof. It can be checked by straight forward computations that ϕ is an isomorphism of H-modules with inverse given by $b \otimes_K ((a \otimes_L m) \otimes n) \mapsto (b_1a \otimes_L n) \otimes (b_2 \otimes_K m)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Lemma 6.22 shows that $P(x) \in \mathrm{I}_{{}^{x}gh}$ and therefore the right hand term of Equation 1.3 is a $D_f(U,H)$-module.

It can be easily check that the isomorphism

$$M \uparrow^{H}_{L(g)} \otimes N \uparrow^{H}_{L(h)} \xrightarrow{\phi} (M \uparrow^{H}_{L(g)} \downarrow^{H}_{L(h)} \otimes N) \uparrow^{H}_{L(h)} \tag{6.32}$$

from Lemma 6.30 is in our situation an isomorphism of $D_f(U,H)$-modules. Indeed one has

$$\begin{array}{rl}
& \phi(u[(a \otimes_L n) \otimes (b \otimes_K m)]) = \phi(u[(a \otimes_L n) \otimes (b \otimes_K m)]) \\
= & \phi((a_2 \otimes_L u_2 n) \otimes (b_2 \otimes_K (u_4)_2 m))\lambda(u_1, Sa_1)\lambda(u_3, a_3) \\
& \lambda((u_4)_1, Sb_1)\lambda((u_4)_3, b_3) \\
= & \phi((a_2 \otimes_L u_2 n) \otimes (b_2 \otimes_K u_5 m))\lambda(u_1, Sa_1)\lambda(u_3, a_3)\lambda(u_4, Sb_1)\lambda(u_6, b_3) \\
= & (b_2)_2 \otimes_K ((S^{-1}((b_2)_1 a_2) \otimes u_5 m)\lambda(u_1, Sa_1)\lambda(u_3, a_3)\lambda(u_4, Sb_1)\lambda(u_6, b_3) \\
= & b_3 \otimes_K ((S^{-1} b_2 a_2 \otimes_L u_2 n) \otimes u_5 m)\lambda(u_1, Sa_1)\lambda(u_3, a_3)\lambda(u_4, Sb_1)\lambda(u_6, b_4)
\end{array}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{array}{rl}
& u\phi((a \otimes_L n) \otimes (b \otimes_K m)) = u(b_2 \otimes_K ((S^{-1} b_1 a \otimes_L n) \otimes m)) \\
= & (b_2)_2 \otimes_K u_2((S^{-1} b_1 a \otimes_L n) \otimes m)\lambda(u_1, S(b_2)_1)\lambda(u_3, (b_2)_3) \\
= & b_3 \otimes_K [u_2(S^{-1} b_1 a \otimes_L n) \otimes u_3 m]\lambda(u_1, S(b_2))\lambda(u_4, b_4) \\
= & b_3 \otimes_K [((S^{-1} b_1 a)_2 \otimes_L (u_2)_2 n) \otimes u_3 m] \\
& \lambda(u_1, S(b_2))\lambda(u_4, b_4)\lambda((u_2)_1, S((S^{-1} b_1 a)_1))\lambda((u_2)_3, (S^{-1} b_1 a)_3)) \\
= & b_3 \otimes_K ((S^{-1} b_2 a_2 \otimes_L u_3 n) \otimes u_5 m)\lambda(u_1, Sb_4)\lambda(u_6, b_6) \\
& \lambda(u_2, S(S^{-1} b_3 a_1))\lambda(u_4, S^{-1} b_1 a_3) \\
= & b_3 \otimes_K ((S^{-1} b_2 a_2 \otimes_L u_3 n) \otimes u_5 m) \\
& \lambda(u_1, Sb_4)\lambda(u_2, S(a_1) b_3)\lambda(u_4, S^{-1} b_1 a_3)\lambda(u_6, b_6) \\
= & b_3 \otimes_K ((S^{-1} b_2 a_2 \otimes_L u_2 n) \otimes u_4 m) \\
& \lambda(u_1, S(a_1) b_3 S b_4)\lambda(u_3, S^{-1} b_1 a_3)\lambda(u_5, b_6) \\
= & b_3 \otimes_K ((S^{-1} b_2 a_2 \otimes_L u_2 n) \otimes u_5 m) \\
& \lambda(u_1, Sa_1)\lambda(u_3, a_3)\lambda(u_4, Sb_1)\lambda(u_6, b_4)
\end{array}$$

Following then Lemma 6.25 it follows that the left hand side of Equation 1.3 is

$$\begin{array}{rl}
& M \uparrow^{H}_{L(g)} \otimes N \uparrow^{H}_{L(h)} \cong (M \uparrow^{H}_{L(g)} \downarrow^{H}_{L(h)} \otimes N) \uparrow^{H}_{L(h)} \\
\cong & \oplus_{x \in \mathcal{D}}((L(h) \otimes_{L(h) \cap L({}^{x}g)} ({}^{x}M \otimes N)) \uparrow^{H}_{L(h)}) \\
\cong & \oplus_{x \in \mathcal{D}} H \otimes_{L({}^{x}g) \cap L(h)} ({}^{x}M \downarrow^{L(g)}_{L({}^{x}g) \cap L(h)} \otimes N \downarrow^{L(h)}_{L({}^{x}g) \cap L(h)})
\end{array}$$

as $D_f(U,H)$-modules where the $D_f(U,H)$-module structure of the last term is given by Lemma 6.9.

On the other hand, the $D_f(U,H)$-module $H\otimes_{L({}^xgh)} P(x)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & H\otimes_{L({}^xgh)} (L({}^xgh)\otimes_{L({}^xg)\cap L(h)} ({}^xM\downarrow^{L(g)}_{L({}^xg)\cap L(h)}\otimes N\downarrow^{L(h)}_{L({}^xg)\cap L(h)})) \\ \cong\ & H\otimes_{L({}^xg)\cap L(h)} ({}^xM\downarrow^{L(g)}_{L({}^xg)\cap L(h)}\otimes N\downarrow^{L(h)}_{L({}^xg)\cap L(h)}). \end{aligned}$$

It is also straightforward to verify that under the last isomorphism the $D_f(U,H)$-module structure of

$$H\otimes_{L({}^xg)\cap L(h)} ({}^xM\downarrow^{L(g)}_{L({}^xg)\cap L(h)}\otimes N\downarrow^{L(h)}_{L({}^xg)\cap L(h)}) \tag{6.33}$$

also coincides with the one given in Lemma 6.9.

This shows that

$$(H\otimes_{L(g)} M)\otimes(H\otimes_{L(h)} N)\cong\oplus_{x\in\mathcal{D}}(H\otimes_{L({}^xg\,h)} P(x))$$

as $D_f(U,H)$-modules.

6.3.1. Let $\mathcal{B}_g$ be the full abelian subcategory of $D_f(U,H)$-mod generated by the set of all irreducible $D_f(U,H)$-modules of the type $H\otimes_{L(g)} M$ with $M\in \mathrm{I}_g$. Recall that for any $M\in\mathrm{I}_g$ the $D_f(U,H)$-module $H\otimes_{L(g)} M$ from Lemma 6.9 is denoted by $S_{g,M}$. Note that by Lemma 6.16 one has that $\mathcal{B}_{{}^xg}=\mathcal{B}_g$ for any $x\in F$.

Fix a set $\Gamma=\{g_1,\cdots,g_s\}$ a representatives for the orbits of the action of F on G. Theorem 1.1 gives a decomposition

$$\mathrm{K}_0(D_f(U,H)):=\oplus_{g\in\Gamma}\mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{B}_g) \tag{6.34}$$

of the Grothendieck ring of $D_f(U,H)$ such that for all $g,h\in G$, one has

$$\mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{B}_g)\mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{B}_h)\subseteq\oplus_{x\in D}\mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{B}_{{}^xgh}) \tag{6.35}$$

where D is a set of double coset representatives of $F_g\backslash F/F_h$.

6.3.2. Suppose $f:U\to H^{*\,\mathrm{cop}}$ is a surjective morphism of Hopf algebras and consider $f^*:F\to G$ as in Equation 3.13. Since f^* is an injective morphism of groups one can identify the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}F$ as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}G$. Then a basis for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\mathbb{Z}F)$ is given by the orbits sums:

$$s(g):=\sum_{x\in F/F_g} f^*(x)gf^*(x)^{-1} \tag{6.36}$$

Note that in $\mathbb{Z}G$ the product of orbit sums satisfy

$$s(g)s(h)=\sum_{x\in\mathcal{D}}[F_{{}^xgh}:F_{{}^xg}\cap F_h]s({}^xgh) \tag{6.37}$$

This formula appears in [30].

Corollary 6.38. *There is a ring surjection*

$$K_0(D_f(U,H)) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\mathbb{Z}F) \tag{6.39}$$

given by

$$[S_{g,M}] \mapsto (\dim M)s(g) \tag{6.40}$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\mathbb{Z}F)$ *is the centralizer of* $\mathbb{Z}F$ *inside the group algebra* $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Proof. Using Formulae 1.3 and 6.37 it is easy to check that the above defined map is a ring morphism. Since $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\mathbb{Z}F)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-spanned by the orbit sums $\mathcal{O}(g)$ it follows that the above morphism is surjective. $\square$

In particular we obtain the following generalization of Corollary 3.2 from [9].

Corollary 6.41. *For any semisimple Hopf algebra* A *one has a surjective ring morphism*

$$K_0(D(A)) \to \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}G) \tag{6.42}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ *is the center of the group algebra* $\mathbb{Z}G$.

6.4. **On the Grothendieck ring structure of** $\mathrm{K}_0(D_f(U,H))$**.** In this subsection we show that the Grothendieck ring $\mathrm{K}_0(D_f(U,H))$ has the same type ring structure as the rings considered in [30] and [3]. Using the notations from Section 2 of [30] one can take $A(g) := K_0(\mathcal{B}_g)$ for all $g \in G$ and consider the structure maps

$$m_{g,h} : K_0(\mathcal{B}_g) \times K_0(\mathcal{B}_h) \to K_0(\mathcal{B}_{gh}) \tag{6.43}$$

and

$$c_{g,x} : K_0(\mathcal{B}_g) \to K_0(\mathcal{B}_{{}^{x}g}) \tag{6.44}$$

induced from the maps defined in Equations 6.24 and respectively Equation 6.21. Then clearly by Equation 6.34 one has that the invariant ring satisfies $A^L \cong K_0(D_f(U,H))$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules since $c_{x,g}$ is the identity map if $x \in F_g$. On the other hand Formula 1.3 from the tensor product shows that A^L has the ring structure described in Equation 2.3 from [30]. Indeed, in order to realize this fact one has to identify as in page 19 of [30], the module $P(x) \in \mathcal{I}_{{}^{x}g_ig_j}$ with the module ${}^{y}P(x) \in \mathcal{I}_{g_k}$ where $y \in L$ is chosen such that ${}^{yx}g_i\,{}^{y}g_j = g_k$ for a uniquely determined $k = k(x)$. Here $\Gamma = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^{s} \subset G$ is a fixed set of representative elements for the orbits of the action of F on G as above. Then one can use Corollary 2.5 of [30].

References

1. N. Andruskiewitsch, About finite dimensional Hopf algebras, Contemp. Math **294** (2002), 1–57.
2. N. Andruskiewitsch and J. Devoto, Extensions of Hopf algebras, Algebra i Analiz **7** (1995), 22–69.
3. S. Bouc, Hochschild constructions for Green functors, Commm. Alg. **31** (2003), no. 1, 419–453.
4. A. Bruguiers and S. Natale, Central Exact Sequences of Tensor Categories, Equivariantization and Applications, arXiv:1112.3135 (2011).
5. S. Burciu, Coset decomposition for semisimple Hopf Algebras, Commun. Alg. **37** (2009), 3573 – 3585.
6. ______, Coset decomposition for semisimple Hopf algebras, Comm. Alg., **37** (2009), no. 10, 3573 – 3585.
7. ______, Clifford theory for cocentral extensions, Israel J. Math. **181** (2011), no. 1, 111–123.
8. S. Burciu and S. Natale, On the lattice of fusion subcategories of an equivariantization, preprint, (2012).
9. C. Cibils, Tensor product of Hopf bimodules over a group algebra., Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 1315–1321.
10. C. Cibils and A. Solatar, Hochschild cohomology algebra of abelian groups, Arch. Math. **68** (1997), 17–21.
11. A. H. Clifford, Representations induced in an invariant subgroup, Annals of Math. **38** (1937), 533–550.
12. P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, On fusion categories, Annals of Mathematics **162** (2005), 581–642.
13. ______, Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories, Adv. Math **226** (2011), no. 1, 176–205.
14. S. Gelaki, D. Naidu, and D. Nikshych, centers of graded fusion categories, Alg and Number Theory. (2009), no. 3, 959–990.
15. S. Gelaki and D. Nikshych, Nilpotent fusion categories, Adv. in Math. **217** (2008), no. 3, 1053–1071.
16. C. Kassel, Quantum groups, Springer Verlag, 1995.
17. R. G. Larson, Characters of Hopf algebras, J. Algebra (1971), 352–368.
18. R. G. Larson and D. E. Radford, Finite dimensional cosemisimple Hopf Algebras in characteristic zero are semisimple, J. Algebra **117** (1988), 267–289.
19. G. Lusztig, Leading coefficients of characters values of Hecke algebras, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **47** (1987), 235– 262.
20. S. Majid, Foundations of quantum groups, Cambridge Univ. Press (1995).
21. S. Natale, Hopf algebra extensions of group algebras and Tambara-Yamagami categories , Algebr. Rep. Th. **13** (2010), no. 6, 673–691.
22. W. D. Nichols and M. B. Richmond, The Grothendieck group of a Hopf algebra, I, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), 1081–1095.
23. W. D. Nichols and M. B. Zoeller, A Hopf algebra Freenes theorem, Amer. J. Math. **111** (1989), 381385.
24. D. E. Radford, Minimal Quasitriangular Hopf Algebras, J. Algebra **157** (1993), 285–315.
25. M. Rieffel, Normal subrings and induced representations, J. Algebra **24** (1979), 264–386.

26. H. J. Schneider, Representation theory of Hopf Galois extensions, Israel J. Math. **72** (1990), 196–231.
27. D. Tambara, Invariants and semi-direct products for finite group actions on tensor categories, J. Math. Soc. Japan **53** (2001), 429–456.
28. S. Witherspoon, The representation ring of the quantum double of a finite group, J. Algebra. **179** (1996), 305–329.
29. ______, Clifford correspondence for algebras, J. Algebra **218** (1999), 608–620.
30. ______, Products in Hochschild cohomology and Grothendieck rings of group crossed products., Adv. Math. **185** (2004), no. 1, 136–158.
31. Y. Zhu, Hopf algebras of prime dimension, Int. Math. Res. Not. **1** (1994), 53–59.

Inst. of Math. “Simion Stoilow” of the Romanian Academy P.O. Box 1-764, RO-014700, Bucharest, Romania

and

University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Algebra and Number Theory Research Center, 14 Academiei St., Bucharest, Romania
E-mail address: `sebastian.burciu@imar.ro`